JUL-16-2004 13:41

| IN | THE | UNITED | STATES PA | TENT AND | TRADEMAR | RK OFFICE |
|----|-----|--------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|
|----|-----|--------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|

RECEIVED

(Case No. 99,576-A)

Group Art Unit:

Examiner:

CENTRAL FAX CENTER JUL 1 6 2004

Deborah A. Davis

In re Application of:

Mohammad S. Nasir and Michael E. Jolley

Serial No.: 09/903,061

Filed: July 11, 2001

For: Fluorescence Polarization-Based

Homogeneous Assay For Deoxynivalenol In

Grains

Asst. Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL LETTER

In regard to the above identified application:

- 1. We are transmitting herewith the attached:
 - a: Statement of Substance of Interview
- 2. Please charge any additional fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-2490.
- CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 CFR § 1.8: The undersigned hereby 3. certifies that this Transmittal Letter and the paper, as described in paragraph I hereinabove, are being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office (Fax No. (703) 872-9306) on this July day of 16th, 2004.

Richard A. Machonkin

Reg. No. 41,962

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

(Case No. 99,576-A)

PATENT RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTRE

| | | | Service From Centre | |
|---------------|--|------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| In the Applic | eation of: |) | JUL 1 6 2004 | |
| | ammad S. Nasir and ael E. Jolley |) Examiner: Deborah A. Davis | | |
| Serial No.: | 09/903,061 |) Art Unit: 1641 | | |
| Filed: | July 11, 2001 |) | FILAL | |
| For: | Fluorescence Polarization-Based Homogeneous Assay For Deoxynivalenol In Grains |))) | | |
| | n | | | |

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

On May 26, 2004, the Examiner left a telephone message for the undersigned indicating an allowance. In subsequent telephone contact between the Examiner and the undersigned, the Examiner explained that claims 1-4 and 10-11 were allowable but that claims 5-9 were allegedly "too broad." Thus, the application would be allowed upon cancellation of claims 5-9 in an Examiner's amendment. On or about June 4, 2004, the undersigned gave the Examiner permission to cancel claims 5-9.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: July 16, 2004

By: Richard A. Machonkin

Reg. No. 41,962